

WISCONSIN CONSERVATION SPEAKING CONTEST

JUDGING SHEET

CONTEST DIVISION _____ JUDGE _____

SPEAKER _____

TITLE OF SPEECH _____

SPEAKER NUMBER _____ TOTAL TIME _____ FINAL PLACING _____

	RANK (higher score equals better performance)	COMMENTS
CONTENT (45 %)	SCALE: 0-15	
<i>Understandability</i> 1. Was it easy to understand? 2. Was proper English used? 3. Was a central theme developed?		
<i>Quality of Material & State or Local Interest</i> 1. Was there evidence of accuracy and authenticity? 2. Are important references indicated? 3. Does material relate to soil and water conservation?		
<i>Emphasis of Conservation and Environmental Aspects</i> 1. Were these aspects well identified? 2. Were benefits and consequences presented? 3. Were alternatives discussed?		
DELIVERY (45%)	SCALE: 0-15	
<i>Effective Use of Voice</i> 1. Volume: Was the speaker appropriately audible? 2. Tempo: Rate of delivery pleasing to audience? 3. Pitch: Did speaker sound grounded and confident? 4. Tone: Should reflect speaker's attitude. 5. Variety: Adds to effectiveness of presentation.		
<i>Manner of Speaking</i> 1. Was there a good choice of words? 2. Did speaker enunciate clearly? 3. Were words pronounced correctly?		
<i>Stress on Important Points</i> 1. Was there voice modulation or restating for emphasis? 2. Were facts, quotes and examples used for support? 3. Was there motivation or persuasion?		
STYLE (10%)	SCALE: 0-5	
<i>Organization of material</i> 1. Did speech grasp interest from beginning? 2. Was speaker poised and neat? 3. Did speech have a suitable conclusion? 4. Was the speaker's mission accomplished?		
<i>Audience appeal</i> 1. Was speaker relaxed? 2. Were key points ordered to support main idea? 3. Did speaker look at audience and capture attention? 4. Did speaker gesture with head, face and hands?		

***Narrative comments should be clipped and returned to the speaker. Point totals are for judges' personal use only.*

Comments for Speaker (name) _____

CONTENT (45%):

DELIVERY (45%):

STYLE (10%):